

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,625	07/21/2003	Christopher J. Wood	SEA0820P1041US	5180
32116 7	16 7590 05/06/2005		EXAMINER	
WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER			NGO, LIEN M	
500 W. MADI	SON STREET		(
SUITE 3800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60661			3727	
			DATE MAILED, 05/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		6			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/623,625	WOOD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	LIEN TM NGO	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volume to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 February 2005</u> .					
, ,					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, δ	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is a	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicantly documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	30 □ 1	oru (PTO 413)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail) 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/623,625

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 10-13, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schorner (6,409,034)

Schorner discloses, in figs. 1-3, and col. 5, lines 44-50, a hinge structure 28 comprising an elastomeric element 30 exerting a force to urge a lid from a closed position toward an open position. The elastomeric element is outwardly exposed in the closed position as well as open position. It is inherent that the elastomeric element has an outer surface that is in tension when the lid is in the closed position and has an inner surface being in compression when the lid is in closed position because the elastomeric element is bent over about 180 degrees when it is in the closed position, the outer surface must be in tension and the inner surface must be in compression in order to store a force to bias the lid from a closed position toward an open position.

Art Unit: 3727

In regard to claim 16, the elastomeric element has two lateral margins 50 and 52 which are laterally exposed when the lid in the closed position as well as in the open position.

In regard to claim 12, the method of making, for example, bi-injection molding does not have a patentable weight in the product-by-process claim (see MPEP2113)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schorner. Although Schorner does not disclose the elastomeric element being rubber, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the elastomeric element being rubber in order provide a desired flexible hinge, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 2/7/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as pointed out in the rejections above.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Marck (3,758,00) teaches a hinge structure 14 comprising an elastomeric element exerting a force to urge a lid from a closed position toward an open position

Donegan (6,832,686) teaches a hinge structure 16 comprising an elastomeric element exerting a force to urge a lid from a closed position toward an open position.

Takeuchi (5,620,107) teaches, in figs. 14, a hinge structure 67 comprising an elastomeric element exerting a force to urge a lid from a closed position toward an open position.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,625 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIEN TM NGO whose telephone number is 571-272-4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM -6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LEE YOUNG can be reached on 571-272-4549. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LIEN TM NGO Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

May 3, 2005